

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 14, 2005, Applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group II (claims 13-19 and 26), with traverse. Newly added claims 27-29 properly belong with elected Group II.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider his Restriction Requirement because all groups of claims are drawn to subject matter which is so related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner by maintaining both groups of claims in a single application. See, e.g., MPEP § 803.


Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

June 27, 2005

By:


G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,382

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600
GGS/slm